

Chapter 3 - Implementation

3.1 PREPARING THE STUDENT FOR WBL

3.1.1 Dialogue with the student

The dialogue with the student in the preparatory phase is focused on identifying his/her expectations, interests, and preferred styles of learning.

The following instrument is a Grid for conducting a dialogue aimed at identifying interests, passions and weak aspects (the student interview teacher).

The grid has been structured in three distinct sections. The first one allows you to discover the talents students believe they have, what they can do easily well, without particular efforts.

The second deals with their passion: activities that when they are carried out determine a psychological and physical well-being.

The purpose of the last section is to identify activities and areas in which the student feel to be less skilled or do not interest him/her.



Grid 7. Talents and passions identification grid

What are your strengths?

- What can you do well and easily?
- What do people usually ask you, knowing you're good at it?
- If someone asked your friend to list your talents, what would you say?

Which are your passions?

What don't you like doing?

What do you think you do not do well?

This is followed by a self-assessment exercise aimed at identifying the Soft skills of the students.



EXERCISE: "Soft skills"

Main objective: to enable students to identify their skills describing them.

Methodology: ask the student to read all the skills and experiences listed

All skills are important to consider, even the simplest and unrelated to work (for example in sports). Possibly, add other elements that arise during the discussion.

Discuss the results with the students to collect further comments, ideas,

Soft skills are the skills and abilities acquired/developed during work, courses, projects, volunteering, parenting, hobbies and sports throughout the course and areas of life. These skills can be used in a work situation.



Grid 8. Self- assessment soft skills

| Competences & experiences | I have to improve | Sufficient | Good | Excellent | I'm interested in | I'm not interested in |
|--|-------------------|------------|------|-----------|-------------------------|-----------------------|
| Manual work | | | | | | |
| I can fix things | | | | | | |
| I can create things (I cook foods, I produce objects, etc.) | | | | | | |
| I can use tools | | | | | | |
| I can build things (assembly, construction, carpentry, etc. | | | | | | |



| Competences & experiences | I have to improve | Sufficient | Good | Excellent | I'm interested in | I'm not interested in |
|---|-------------------|------------|------|-----------|-------------------------|-----------------------|
| Creativity | | | | | | |
| I try to do things in different ways | | | | | | |
| I have new / original ideas | | | | | | |
| I like trying new things | | | | | | |
| I create things (stories, music objects) | | | | | | |
| Communication | | | | | | |
| I ask many questions, I'm curious, I want to learn | | | | | | |
| I like talking to people | | | | | | |
| I'm good at making speeches, presentations | | | | | | |
| I'm good at writing reports / documents / communications | | | | | | |
| I'm good at explaining things (teaching, support) | | | | | | |



| Competences & experiences | I have to improve | Sufficient | Good | Excellent | I'm interested in | I'm not interested in |
|--|-------------------|------------|------|-----------|-------------------------|-----------------------|
| I'm good at listening to others | | | | | | |
| Relations | | • | • | | | |
| I like meeting new people | | | | | | |
| I can work in a group | | | | | | |
| I like helping others (cooperation) | | | | | | |
| I'm a reliable person | | | | | | |
| I can listen to people who have different ideas from mine | | | | | | |
| I can accept constructive criticism | | | | | | |
| Problem Solving | | | | | | |
| I can identify problems | | | T | | | |
| I can identify the cause of problem | | | | | | |
| I'm good at improving situations | | | | | | |
| I suggest solutions | | | | | | |



| Competences & experiences | I have to improve | Sufficient | Good | Excellent | I'm interested in | I'm not interested in |
|--|-------------------|------------|------|-----------|-------------------------|-----------------------|
| I can interpret the information and communication I receive well | | | | | | |
| Organization | | | | | | |
| I can organize events; feasts | | | | | | |
| I can plan activities | | | | | | |
| I can coordinate things / events / projects | | | | | | |
| I can manage and respect the times | | | | | | |
| I respect deadlines | | | | | | |
| Leadership | | | | | | |
| People ask me for advice / help | | | | | | |
| I start new activities | | | | | | |
| I have managed children, seniors, groups of people | | | | | | |
| I take decisions | | | | | | |
| I love being the first to try something | | | | | | |

Once the student has completed the grid, it should be read by taking into account the following steps:



- Identify all the skills the student has evaluated of his own interest (from right to left penultimate column)
- Focus on the skills reported as "I have to improve" or "sufficient"; these indicate skills gaps to be filled in relation to specific expressed interest;
- Then focus on the skills reported as "good" or "excellent" give information about any prerequisites or requests formulated by the host organization



EXERCISE: Self-assessment of learning styles

Each person develops certain attitudes rather than others based on past experience and the demands of the environment. In this way learning styles are developed emphasizing some skills over others.

Four learning styles have been identified:

- **DIVERGENT** = Concreteness + Reflection
- **ASSIMILATORY** = Abstraction + Reflection
- **CONVERGENT** = Abstraction + Action
- ADAPTIVE = Concreteness + Action

Grid 9. Testing on prevailing Learning Styles (Kolb test)

| | EC | OR | | CA | SA | |
|---|---|---------------------------------------|-------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|
| 1 | Try to find the differences | I NVNOTNESES AND I | | I let myself get involved | I act practically | |
| | chose from 1 to 4 | chose from 1 to 4 1 | | chose from 1 to 4 | chose from 1 to 4 | |
| 2 | I take the ideas of others into consideration | I dedicate myself only to the problem | 1 | I carry out analysis | I remain impartial | |
| | chose from 1 to 4 | chose from 1 to 4 | 1 cl | chose from 1 to 4 | chose from 1 to 4 | |
| 3 | I rely on feelings | I make careful observations | | I rely on reason | I try to understand by doing | |
| | chose from 1 to 4 | chose from 1 to 4 | 1 cl | chose from 1 to 4 | chose from 1 to 4 | |
| 4 | I usually accept | I usually take risks | | I evaluate pros and cons | I try to become fully conscious | |
| | chose from 1 to 4 | chose from 1 to 4 | 1 cl | chose from 1 to 4 | chose from 1 to 4 | |
| 5 | Intuition is privileged | I rely on facts | | I follow a logical process | I ask myself many questions | |
| | chose from 1 to 4 | chose from 1 to 4 | 1 cl | chose from 1 to 4 | chose from 1 to 4 | |
| 6 | l proceed by abstraction | I examine facts | ı | I'm a concrete person | I prefere action | |
| | chose from 1 to 4 | . chose from 1 to 4 | 1 cl | chose from 1 to 4 | L chose from 1 to 4 | |



| 7 | I look at today | I reflect on facts | | I look at tomorrow | I keep to facts | | |
|---|----------------------------|----------------------|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|---|
| | chose from 1 to 4 | chose from 1 to 4 | 1 | chose from 1 to 4 | 1 | chose from 1 to 4 | 1 |
| 8 | I rely on my experience | I rely on observatio | n | I proceed by concept | S | Experimentation is privileged | |
| | chose from 1 to 4 | chose from 1 to 4 | 1 | chose from 1 to 4 | 1 | chose from 1 to 4 | 1 |
| 9 | I work with energy | I proceed cautiously | | I proceed following m thinking | | I am a responsible person | |
| | chose from 1 to 4 | chose from 1 to 4 | 1 | chose from 1 to 4 | 1 | chose from 1 to 4 | 1 |
| | 6 | 6 | | 6 | | 6 | |

(Test available Annex n. 4)

Instruction for carrying out the test of learning styles (Kolb Test):

- click on the boxes highlighted in grey and choose the value from the drop down menu,

 In **Grid 9** where there are 9 groups of statements, assign to each group a value from 1 to 4 based on the following statements:
 - 4 <u>almost always</u> characterizes your habitual way of dealing with problems and solving them
 - 3 sometimes characterizes your habitual way of dealing with problems and solving them
 - 2 rarely characterizes my habitual way of dealing with problems and solving them
 - 1 doesn't characterize my habitual way of dealing with problems and solving them
- read your corresponding profile in the two blue squares with the highest score.

EC + OR = DIVERGENT style:

Those who possess this style of learning show an orientation towards the Concrete Experience (EC) and the Reflective Observation (OR).

Divergents possess a strong capacity for imagination and the generation of ideas, a high awareness of values and meanings; they see situations from many perspectives and are able to organize the



complexity of relationships in a systemic, gestalt vision. People with this cognitive style prefer creative, multicultural activities with a strong orientation to people (i.e. artists, counselors, staff development specialists, creators and basic researchers ...).

Available resources:

- Sensitivity to concrete experience
- Careful consideration of the information
- Reflective observation
- Strong sensitivity for interpersonal relationships
- Imaginative ability and high awareness of meanings and values
- Good empathic skills, cooperation and openness

OR + CA = ASSIMILATIVE style

Those who possess this style of learning show an orientation towards the Reflective Observation (OR) and the Abstract Conceptualization (CA).

The Assimilator possesses a strong theoretical modeling ability, using an inductive process in reasoning. Cognitive orientation is more directed to ideas, to theories to the extent that they are logical and precise. This cognitive style is very effective in assimilating different observations into integrated explanations (i.e. scientists, product research and development specialists, mathematicians ...).

Available resources:

- Reflective Observation
- Abstract conceptualization
- Construction of theoretical explanatory models
- Ability to integrate the different elements from the observation into coherent explanatory models
- Approach to inductive reality
- Strong tendency to organize information
- Strong analytical skills



CA + SA = CONVERGENT style

Those who possess this style of learning show an orientation towards Abstract Conceptualization (CA) and Active Experimentation (SA).

For the Converger the reasoning processes are mostly deductive; has a great capacity for analysis, problem solving, decision making, practical application of ideas on specific problems. Convergent style prefers tasks and technical problems to emotional and social dimensions (eg applied researchers, engineers, lawyers ...). Work actively on well-defined objectives and learn by trial and errors in an environment that allows you to make mistakes without consequences.

Available resources:

- Strong results orientation
- Good capacity for abstract conceptualization
- Comparison with reality and active experimentation of ideas and theories
- Ability to focus on his own resources in order to solve a single problem
- Define and set goals
- Ability to make decisions about clear and well-defined objectives
- Ability to find functional alternatives to the solution of complex problems
- Ability to organize the information and resources available to achieve the objectives

AE + CE = ADAPTIVE style

Who owns this style of learning is strongly oriented towards Active Experimentation (AE) and Concrete Experience (CE).

This type is strongly oriented towards action, looking for opportunities and risk taking; he basically solves problems thanks to a strong intuitive ability.

The accommodating style is a winner in the management of process emergencies, in those situations where the theory does not perfectly explain the reality and should change dynamically depending on the change in environmental inputs (eg teachers, freelancers ...).

Available resources:

- Strong orientation towards objectives
- Capacity for leadership and coordination of resources
- Personal involvement and decision-making ability



- Strong skills in researching and managing new opportunities
- Ability to make decisions in relation to clear and well-defined objectives

Strong skills in organizing the information and resources available to achieve the goals



3.1.2 Contact between the student and the host subject before the start of the learning path

As it is well known, the preparatory activities are functional to increase the student's level of awareness about the learning experience that will be carried out. For the student it is a way to activate and define the learning outcomes expected. To this purpose, some useful activities are described below.

Invite the Workplace tutor in the classroom

If the workplace tutor cannot go to class, the school tutor will go to the company, take all the necessary information and bring them back to class.

Study visit to the workplace

This tool¹ is for use by the company tutor and the school tutor to organize a study visit to the workplace.

A study visit is an activity in which students have the opportunity to visit the workplace, get to know the company, meet employees, ask questions and observe work in progress. It should take place for small groups of students and it should involve preliminary preparation in the classroom, including research done by the students. All study visits should include structured activities before, during and after the experience.

_

¹ This tool is a reworking of the How-to Guide Workplace Tours guide contained in the Quality Work-Based Learning Toolkit document by New Century Connections, a partnership between Kansas (KCK) Public Schools and the KCK Area Chamber of Commerce.





Check list 3. How to organize a study visit (School tutor)

Before the study visit

Evaluate how the study visit can help you achieve your teaching objectives

- ✓ Make sure that the study visit will help students understand the context in which they will be challenged, acting as a valuable tool for applying academic concepts to work real situations.
- ✓ Select suitable companies for the study visit. Make sure they are appropriate organizations able to link academic learning to the world of work.
- ✓ Organize in detail the logistical part of the visit. Confirm logistics. Transport of students and any other school staff; direct contact with the persons responsible for the visit in the company; confirmation of clothing requirements
- ✓ Prepare students to best maximize their learning:
 - Discuss in class their expectations about the study visit they are about to accomplish and what they expect to learn
 - Present to students the behavioral expectations that will allow them to get the most out of this activity
 - Ask students what they already know about the company
 - Help students to do a research on the company so that during the study visit they are prepared to ask relevant questions of interest to them.
 - Ask students to prepare a list of learning questions and goals they would like to accomplish during the study visit.

It also presents students with additional material that can help them prepare for the study visit.

During the study visit

- ✓ Ensure that students receive safety instructions at the workplace.
- ✓ Organize the study visit in small groups of students. This allows students to become familiar with their guidance and maximize the likelihood that they will ask questions and engage in dialogue.
- ✓ Make sure that students come into contact with all aspects / environments of the host organization.
- ✓ Make sure that students can have meetings with employees with different levels of responsibility.

After the study visit

- ✓ Provide individual and group reflection exercises. Reflection promotes selfawareness and personal evaluation and helps students internalize learning acquired during the tour.
- ✓ Help students understand the connection between the school and the world of work.



- ✓ Assist students in writing a thank-you letter to the host company. Students' letters of thanks allow the company to better understand and evaluate its participation in the learning process. Classroom reflection activities work well as a lead-in to write thank-you notes for the host company.
- ✓ Help students understand and determine the next steps of learning their path. The study visit allows students to discover further elements for the construction of their careers.
- ✓ Use company and student feedback for continuous improvement of study visit organization



Check list n. 4 – How to organize a study visit - Workplace Tutor

Before study visit

- ✓ Give to the school tutor the main contacts for the study visit and the main logistical information
- ✓ Organization of the study visit in all its aspects / sectors / departments
- ✓ Determine a study visit structure that allows students to move in small groups
- ✓ Consider how students can talk to employees with different levels of responsibility within the company

During the study visit

- ✓ Provide all information regarding safety
- ✓ Briefly highlights the skills used in the Work and helps students understand the connection between these skills and what they learn at school

After the study visit

- ✓ Give feedback to the school tutor so the program can be improved in the future
- ✓ Stay in touch with the school tutor and with the students for the next steps
- ✓ Presents a relationship to the HR / employer for further improvement of the study visit

Interview with Workplace tutor

In the preparatory phase the student should talk directly with the company contacts or during the study visit, or when the some contacts are hosted at school, or even through autonomous contacts. The involvement of the host subjects is essential to allow the individualization of ASL projects as it allows students to orientate themselves with respect to the possible contexts in which to realize the experience

The school tutor interacts with the company tutor in the co-design phase and subsequently in the individualization phase of the ASL path.

The following is a tool that can be used by the student to gather information on the context of the host. This activity greatly increases the motivational level with which the students will face the ASL experience because it brings them closer, and therefore makes them more aware, of the dimensions and the daily practices in the specific professional context.

The tool reports a reasoned list of questions that allow you to develop a general overview of the organization in which you could carry out the WBL experience.





Grid 10. Questions grid addressed to workplace tutor before WBL path – STUDENT

Informational interview with the workplace tutor before starting the WBL path

- 1. What do you do during a typical work day?
- 2. What is the best part of your job?
- 3. What do you like least?
- 4. How did you start this work? What are career opportunities?
- 5. What kind of training do you need for this job?
- 6. What is the average salary for this job? what is the incoming salary?
- 7. How does technology impact your work?
- 8. What area of your school education do you use most to accomplish your ordinary tasks in a day's work?
- 9. What changes do you expect to see in this field over the next 5 years? And in the next 10?
- 10. How important are the following characteristics for your work?
 - ✓ Follow the instructions and orders
 - ✓ Be precise
 - ✓ Actively participate as a member of the working group
 - ✓ Know how to work independently
 - ✓ Time management
 - ✓ Ability to solve problems
 - ✓ Ability to analyze the information received
 - ✓ Being creative
- 11. If you had to start your career now, what would you do differently?



3.2 ELABORATION OF INDIVIDUAL WBL CURRICULUM (PLAN)

Below is the process that allows you to draw up a work-based learning project in an individualized way.

In the context of the LOWE Model, individualization refers exclusively to the internship phase in the company. In this sense, individualization will take place at the following levels:

- research by the student of a host organization or assignment by the tutor on the basis of personal characteristics;
- definition and general description by the student of the expected individual learning outcomes (two-three) following the interview with the workplace tutor; these expected learning outcomes described by the students will then be validated and brought back into the annual WBL project by the school tutor.



Guide 6 - Process to write the individualized WBL project:

- To deepen the students' knowledge using their own tools and/ or those proposed in the present toolkit (see Grid n.7-Talents and passions identification grid; see Grid n.8 Exercise: Self-assessment soft skills; see Grid n.9 Testing on prevailing Learning Styles)
- 2) Resume information with respect to the workplace (see **Grid 6** -Co-planning sheet with host organization)
- 3) Implementation by the student of an interview with the company tutor (see
- 1. Grid n. 11 Interview to Workplace tutor after knowing where WBL path will take place)
- 4) Validate, refine and collect the learning outcomes to the annual WBL project by the school tutor;
- 5) Complete the individualized WBL project (see below Grid n.12- Individualized project form)



Grid 11. Interview to Workplace tutor after knowing where WBL path will take place (for student)



Before starting your experience in the company, organize a meeting with your workplace tutor to talk about the possible learning opportunities during your WBL period

- What are the tasks that I will have to accomplish during my experience?
- What is the most important thing to learn for me as soon as I start working here?
- When I finish my WBL experience here, what do you hope I will have learned/I'll be able to do?
- In your opinion what are the skills that Iyoushould necessarily develop for this position?





Grid 12. Individualized plan

| Name surname of the student |
|--|
| |
| Student's Interests and passions |
| |
| Learning style (Grid 9) and individualization methods (specifying teaching methods, supporting tools, etc.) |
| |
| Individualized learning outcomes |
| |
| Planned activities in the company - co-planning grid |
| |
| Alignment of individualized learning outcomes to the learning outcomes of the annual project (optional) |
| |



3.3 MANAGEMENT AND MONITORING PLAN FOR THE WBL PATH IMPLEMENTATION

The management of the WBL path involves monitoring the activities carried out, the learning outcomes achieved and the ability to manage and resolve critical issues that may occur.

A tool has been created through which each school tutor or teacher involved in the WBL can plan the process of monitoring individual paths and manage them.

The tool consists of a worksheet in Excel format attached n. 5 to the monitoring plan folder. The images are shown below.

Annex n. 5 – Monitoring plan

| | | | | | | | ř • | | | | | | | |
|----------------------|-------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-------------|--|-------------|---|--------|-------|------------|------------|----------|------|--------|
| | | | | | | | You can describe the results in this way: | | | | | | | |
| Student | | | | | | | | | | the case | | | | |
| (name and surname) | | | | | Class | | | | KO In | the case o | j negative | e resuit | | |
| Monitored activity 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Information and | | T | imes-Moni | itoring Dat | е | | | | | | | | |
| Kind of detection | data detected | Used tool | | (dd/mn | | | Date | Result | Date | Result | Date | Result | Date | Result |
| | | | (decide t | he number o | of detection | to fulfill) | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Monitored activity 2 | | | • | | | • | | | | | | | | |
| Kind of detection | Information and data detected | Used tool | | (dd/mn | itoring Dat n/yyyy) of detection | | Date | Result | Date | Result | Date | Result | Date | Result |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Monitored activity 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | (-f | | T | imes-Moni | itoring Dat | е | | | | | | | | |
| Kind of detection | Information and data detected | Used tool | | (dd/mn | | | Date | Result | Date | Result | Date | Result | Date | Result |

The monitoring plan is developed for single students:

- 1) the activities expected in the WBL path will be monitored (Company Internship, Activities at school, School laboratory, Company visit, Entrepreneur meeting, Simulated training company, External commitment other activities)
- 2) for each activity monitored, indicate:
- <u>the forms of collection of data</u> (Visit to the host organization: student observed on the task; Visit to the host organization: meeting with the student; Visit to the host organization: meeting with the workplace Tutor; Data collection from documents, Student interview; Student observation at school);
- <u>Data and information collected</u> (Activity progression time, type of activity; learning progression-formative assessment; consistency of activities with the plan, difficulty)
- <u>Tools used</u> (WBL Monitoring grid for visit; WBL activity detection grid)
- Time of implementation of monitoring activities.



For monitoring activities, grids already used in schools can be used. To these can be added two of the following:



Grid 13. Monitoring visit WBL workplace grid

GUIDE: the grid can be used to check the progress of the learning path in the workplace. The school tutor verifies both the progress of Learning outcomes and the activities carried out (Tasks and activities). This monitoring activity should be done through observation or through interview addressed to the student or to the workplace tutor.

| Monitoring grid visit | WBL Workplace |
|--|--|
| Coordinator teacher: | Student: |
| WBL tutor: | Date and time: |
| Student observed on the task Meeting with | h the student Meeting with the WBL tutor |
| Area covered by the visit | Revision and comments |
| Student's General Performance | |
| Activities and tasks | |
| Additional learning outcomes to be monitored in the next monitoring activity | |
| Further learning outcomes to be added in the WBL project | |
| Recommendations from the WBL school tutor | |
| Recommendations from students | |
| Feedback from the WBL workplace tutor | |
| Commitment in follow up | |
| Other activities, discussions | |



| ing | | |
|-----|--|--|
|-----|--|--|



Grid 14. WBL Activity monitoring at School

GUIDE: the grid is used for learning activities carried out at school or in the occasion of a study visit. With this card, you can check whether, according to the sheet contained in the Learning unit, the planned activities have been carried out, if there has been a progression in learning on the basis of the 15 and 16 grids, if the activities were carried out on time

| W | WBL activity detection grid | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------|------|---------------|----------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Teacher coordinator: | | | Student: | | | | | | | |
| WBL tutor | | | Date and time | | | | | | | |
| Activities at school School laboratory Company study visit, Entrepreneur meeting at school Simulated training company | | | | | | | | | | |
| | OK/NOT | Date | and signature | Comments | | | | | | |
| Verification of the correctness of the activities carried out with respect to the planned (process) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Verification of the progression of students' learning (see Formative Assessment Grids 15 and 16) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Verification of the time progression of the activities | | | | | | | | | | |

When the monitoring activities have been carried out, the results should be noted. For each monitoring activity should be noted the date of implementation and a very brief judgment on the outcome (OK if positive, and NOT if negative).



These outcomes can then be reported in an overall group of students followed that allows to develop an awareness on the overall course trend. For this purpose, you can find Excel format in the Annex n. 6 -Monitoring result.



Annex 6- Monitoring Results

| | Detection |
|---------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | result |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Student | | | | | | | | |
| | Detection |
| | result |
| | | | | _ | _ | _ | | _ |
| Student | | | | | | | | |
| | Detection |
| | result |
| | | | | _ | | | | |
| Student | | | | | | | | |
| | Detection |
| | result |
| | | | | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ |
| Student | | | | | | | | |
| | Detection |
| | result |
| | | | | _ | | | | |

This is a work sheet where the results already written in the right part of the Monitoring Plan should be copied.



3.4 LEARNING OUTCOMES ASSESSMENT

The assessment process involves a planning phase and an implementation phase.

For the design of the assessment process you should use specific tools:

- Assessment plan for formative and summative assessment;
- Rubric for assessment (summative), in which to specify in detail the evidence to be assessed;
 the assessment criteria; the mastery levels.

Assessment plan

In the assessment plan will be reported:

- 1) Formative assessment
 - a. times
 - b. tools
 - c. What will be assessed
 - d. Subjects involved

The formative assessment is closely linked to the duration of the WBL project. In general, it is good practice to provide a couple of moments dedicated to formative assessment during the key steps of the WBL project.

Information detected during the formative assessment should then be included in the excel grid used for monitoring (see Annex n. 5 - monitoring plan)

observation grids can be used as tools; reflection grids for tutors and students (grid n. 15 and 16) and other tools already used by the schools.

- 2) Summative assessment
 - a. Rubric for Assessment
 - b. Assessment grid



3.4.1 Formative assessment

The formative assessment, which aims to map the progress of the learning process, provides useful information on what has already been learned and what is yet to be learned. It is functional in order to review the WBL project in light of the areas of improvement emerged. During the assessment phase, the following should be considered:

- intermediate learning outcomes of the student;
- learning process;

However, as the formative assessment is also useful for tutors to reflect on the WBL project, a specific reflection should be made on the support strategies the tutors can adopt in order to support the student's learning (see Grids 15 and 16 below)

The training assessment is linked to the school subjects involved in the annual WBL project. This means that even individual teachers should provide the school tutor with feedback on each of the three elements considered above (intermediate learning outcomes: process, support strategies). It could be helpful to extend the feedback sheets to all the teachers of the school subjects involved in WBL, or to ask short reports. The final result should not be scientific, but it could certainly provide the school tutor with a broader and more detailed vision of the elements to be improved.

The first grid can be used by both school and workplace tutor.

The second grid can be used by the student either in order to reflect on their own learning process, or in order to request feedback from the tutors if there are different results between the self-assessment of the student and tutors' assessment.

In other words, knowing how the student self-represents his learning path, the beliefs regarding what he has learned / has yet to learn, the self-regulation process he intends to activate to fill any gaps, allows tutors to support targeted the student and, if necessary, act in corrective function where there are inconsistencies.

Feedback will be as more effective as it is based on a knowledge of what the student believes already he has improved or he needs to improve.



Grid 15. Give and communicate formative feedback (for school tutor and workplace tutor)



| Feedback levels | Questions for further information and to infer new reflection |
|--|--|
| Students outcomes | Are the results obtained in an activity satisfying the success criteria? Are the results of the student correct? Why yes, why not? How did the student elaborate the contents of the product / task? What did you appreciate about what the student did in terms of product? Where is the error? What did the student do better? What knowledge does the student need in order to do better? |
| Learning process | What did not he do correctly and why? What information, contained in the delivery, did not he examine? What strategies did he you use? What justifies the correctness of a job? What explanations should be given to justify the correctness of a job? What should the student wonder to understand how to do a proper job? What relationships are there between the different parts of the task? What is the level of understanding of the concepts and knowledge related to the task? |
| Self assessment And Self learning adjustment | How can the student review his work? How can the student perform systematic checks while performing a task? What ideas is the student making about the feedback and the indications he receives? How can the student reflect on his / her learning? What did the student do for? What happened when? What explanation can be given for? What doubts does the student still have about the work to be done? How is this task related to? What do all these indications have in common? After examining his work (the answer) what does the student think he has learned? How has your way of thinking about the problem changed and doing the job? Can the student teach another student? How and what? |

Adapted from: HATTIE J., (2012). Visible learning for teachers. Maximizing impact on learning, London, Routledge, p. 129

The grid above is used by the tutors to stimulate in the student the production of very useful feedback for both them and student learning.

The form can be used by the tutor during the formative assessment sessions or at any time appropriate to promote reflection.





Grid 16. Student grid to receive formative feedback

| Feedback levels | Questions for further information and to infer new reflection | | |
|---|---|--|--|
| Students outcomes | - How was it? What did I do well, what did not? - What was the goal of the job? | | |
| | After this task, what will be the next step?What goal can I give me? | | |
| Learning process | - How did I "work"? - What did I miss during the "work"? - Where and when did I lose control of what I was doing? - When didn't I lose control and how things went | | |
| Self-assessment And Self adjustment of learning | Why did it happen?What have I been good at and why?Which parts of the procedure should I improve?What additional knowledge do I need? | | |

The grid can be used by the student accompanying the logbook:

- every day;
- every week;
- at an intermediate time;
- in a final moment.

The answers should be noted by the student in the logbook.

The documents addressed to the workplace tutor also contains a synoptic framework on learning styles which can be a useful support for planning and implementing individual teaching activities.



Table 2. Synoptic Table Learning Styles

| D | iν | eı | ge | ent | st | vle |
|---|----|----|----|-----|----|-----|
| | | | | | | |

Sensitivity to concrete experience
Careful consideration of the information
Reflective observation
Strong sensitivity for interpersonal
relationships
Imaginative ability and high awareness
of meanings and values.
Good empathic skills, cooperation and
openness.

Assimilator style

Reflective Observation

Abstract conceptualization
Construction of theoretical explanatory
models
Ability to integrate the different elements
of the observation into coherent
explanatory models
Approach to intuitive reality
Strong tendency to organize information
Strong analytical skills

Convergent style

Strong results orientation
Good abilities for abstract
conceptualization
Comparison with reality and active
experimentation of ideas and theories
Ability to focus resources on individual
problems
Define and set goals
Ability to make decisions in relation to
clear and well-defined objectives
Ability to find functional alternatives to
the solution of complex problems
Ability to organize information and
resources available to achieve the
objectives set

Adaptive style / activist

Strong orientation to the objectives
Capacity for leadership and coordination of resources
Personal involvement and decision-making ability
Strong skills in researching and managing new opportunities
Ability to make decisions in relation to clear and well-defined objectives
Strong skills in organizing the information and resources available to achieve the objectives set



3.4.2 Summative assessment

The approach to quality assurance, which implies defining the expected learning outcomes rather than the learning objectives, should also take into account the assessment and demonstration of the learning outcomes achieved. The assessment should be aligned with the learning outcomes and be guided by the student-centered learning concept.

The assessment criteria and the methods should be established by consulting also the workplace tutors and the students. The Rubric for assessment could always be shared with all the other subjects involved in the WBL project before it starts.

In the steps outlined so far for the development of the annual WBL project (see paragraph $\underline{2.2}$) we have already partially addressed two aspects related to the design of the summative assessment: the assessment tasks (i.e. on what the students will be assessed) and, in general, the evidences (the proof of the learning ouctomes achieved)

However, the assessment requires a specific planning aimed at drafting a Rubric for Assessment.

3.4.3 How to build a Rubric for assessment?

The designing of the Rubric for assessment includes a series of steps which go from the definition of what will be assessed to the identification of what is assessed impacts on the student's school performance.



Guide 7. How to build a Rubric for assessment

The procedural steps are as follows:

- Establish what to assess → Evidence (product / process / metacognition / use sectoral language)
- **2.** Decide on **assessment standards**, consisting of:
 - a. aspect/characteristics of evidence to be assess → Indicators / evaluation criteria
 - b. levels of mastery → **Descriptors**



3. For each indicator, establish the criteria for a performance which is unacceptable in a clear and unequivocal manner, ie fix the "below-threshold level".

These first three steps allow you to process the **Rubric for Assessment**

- **4.** Build the scheme for the attribution of the level of mastery → Assessment form
- **5.** Add the voting allocation scheme to be used and apply weightings, if necessary. Consider if:
 - **a.** The mark will be given for each indicator or for each evidence (analytical scheme) or overall (holistic scheme).

In this toolkit we propose a holistic approach even if the proposed evaluation form also allows an analytical evaluation, as we will see later.

- **b.** if the marks should also be assigned for the level below the minimum standard.
- c. If any weighting criteria are required. The weighting criteria, in an approach based on the learning outcomes, can concern: the type of learning outcome; the evidence; the indicators measuring the evidence. For example, weighting the weight of the learning outcome means establishing a greater or lesser impact on the scholastic performance of each school subjects involved; some of which most likely will not intervene in the achievement of all the learning outcomes or not all at the same level. The school subjects involved in achieving more complex learning outcomes (with a greater weight), or involved in the achievement of more than one learning outcome, will be more impacted by the WBL path in terms of impact on the students' academic performance. There is no universal rule to attribute different weights to each learning outcome. But a valid criterion is the complexity of the learning outcome to be achieved (and in defining the learning outcomes we have helped ourselves, for example, with Taxonomy in this Toolkit we propose S.O.L.O. Taxonomy we are more facilitated to establish the level of complexity and we partially a completely arbitrary way of proceeding).
- **6.** Assess and use the assessment results to review the planned path.



Establish what to assess: how to identify evidence of learning outcomes?

The evidence of learning outcomes consists of all those visible and manifest elements, tangible or intangible, which allow students to demonstrate the achievement of a learning outcome. The main evidences to be assessed in a WBL path are:

- 1. Product / service developed;
- 2. sectoral language used (oral or written);
- 3. learning process that allowed the mobilization of resources to face the assessment task
- 4. Metacognition or the quality of reflection on the action performed

Each learning outcomes could be assessed on each of the evidences. However, in order to avoid an overload assessment both for the teachers and for the other involved subjects, in the design phase of the assessment it will be established what is/what are the evidences to assess related to specific learning outcomes.

The evidence is closely linked both to the assessment tasks assigned by the teacher and by the company tutor and to the teaching / learning activities put in place during the course.

For example, taking the first (higher level) learning outcome from the learning outcomes identified above

✓ critically reflect (apply critical thinking) to perform an assessment of the planned intervention although the expected final product, that is the reflection board, more than the product itself, the most relevant evidence in order to establish the achievement of the specific learning outcome will concern the metacognitive aspect. In fact, we are not interested to assess the quality of the reflection sheet in its graphic aspects of correctness and/or functionality, as well as the way in which the student moves the resources necessary to carry out that task and the quality (congruity, correctness; originality, etc. ..) of the elaborated reflections. The questions we ask ourselves is: Can the student assess what he has produced? Is able he/she to assess it critically? Can you explain how it proceeds and why does it proceed in a certain way?

Instead, for example, compared to the learning result



✓ "Designing an individual intervention applying multidisciplinary theoretical-methodological frameworks"

A valid assessment considers other evidences such as, for example, the characteristics of the developed project (product) and the quality of the sectoral language used (in this case, written).

Decide on the dimensions that should be present in high quality learning: assessment standards It is through the assessment standards that the learning outcomes of the student are observed in order to express a judgment on them. Assessment standards should:

- Be closely linked to learning outcomes and related evidences, describing those aspects of the evidence that will be assessed;
- indicate what is required for the transition from one level of mastery to another;
- helping students to know what they have to do and what is expected at different levels of mastery;
- be clear and understandable to all interested parties (class board; workplace tutor; students);
- be numerically manageable.

Guide questions to set assessment standards

Here are some guiding questions to set the assessment criteria:

- 1) Do they allow students to know what they need to do to achieve the intended learning outcomes?
- 2) Do they allow students to know what they need to do in order to achieve a certain level of mastery?
- 3) Does workplace tutor know what students need to do in order to assign different levels of mastery to them?
- 4) Do they guarantee a common interpretation of the assessment criteria by all the subjects involved? and can they be used by everyone involved?



3.4.4 Develop a Rubric for assessment

When developing the Rubric for assessment, it may be useful to think of the various elements within a process, which links one stage to the next.



Guide 8. How to develop a Rubric for assessment: The steps are:

- Resume the learning outcomes from the annual WBL project;
- For each learning outcome, identify the evidence in detail.
- At the class board level, establish assessment standards:
 - a. Which aspects of the students' work will be assessed in relation to the learning outcomes? →

Indicators / assessment criteria

- b. What is the minimum level of mastery in order to establish that the assessment criterion has been reached? → minimum threshold descriptor (level 1)
- c. What are the other levels of mastery Descriptors (levels 2, 3, 4)
- d. What is the level below the minimum? → "below threshold level".



Guide 9. To develop a Rubric for assessment

| | Assessment STANDARDS | | | |
|-----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| | Assessment criteria | Minimum threshold | Mastery descriptors | |
| | | Descriptor | | |
| Learning outcomes and | Which aspects of the | What is the | Description of what the | |
| evidences | students' work will be | minimum level of | student should do to reach | |
| | assessed in relation to | mastery | a specific level of mastery | |
| | the learning outcomes | | | |



| Key question: | Key question: | Key question: | Key question: |
|---|---|--|--|
| What evidence (or evidences) will you take into account for the specific learning outcomes established in the annual WBL project? | On what basis will you assess whether student has reached a learning outcome? | What does the student has to achieve a learning outcome in order to satisfy the criterion? | How will you know which level student has arrived? (or "how well did student get there?") |

The following are some of the completed grid, referring to one of the learning outcomes identified in the planning phase.

Example 1: Guidance grid for the elaboration of the Rubric for Assessment

| | ASSESSMENT STANDARDS | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|
| Learning outcomes and evidences | Assessment criterion | Minimum threshold descriptor | Diversified descriptors Levels of mastery | |
| | Declaration of which aspects of the evidence will be judged, in relation to the learning outcomes | | Description of what the student should do to reach a specific level with respect to the assessment criterion | |
| Key question: | Key question: | Key question: | Key question: | |
| What evidence (or evidence) will you take into account for the specific learning outcome? | On what basis will you evaluate if student has fulfilled the LO? | What does the student have to do to achieve that learning outcome? | How will you know which level student has reached? | |
| the student will be able to detect and organize users' social and | Students' work will be assessed on the completeness of the data collected and on | At a basic level, the student will detect the main socio-health data | In order to achieve level 4/3/2/1 The student will be able to | |



| health data (taken | the relevance of the | and select the | 4) detect a wide range of |
|----------------------|----------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------|
| from examples above) | data selected | most relevant for | socio-health data, |
| Evidence: | /organized for their | their needs | identifying the |
| Report / data card | needs. | | appropriateness for their |
| detected | | | needs. Organize the data |
| (PRODUCT) | | | and justify its selection, |
| | | | explaining the reasons for |
| | | | its choices. |
| | | | 3) detect a wide range of |
| | | | data and select the most |
| | | | relevant ones, clearly |
| | | | identifying their needs |
| | | | 2) detect some data and |
| | | | select the most relevant |
| | | | ones, according to your |
| | | | needs. |
| | | | 1) identify the main socio- |
| | | | health data and select the |
| | | | most relevant ones for |
| | | | your needs. |
| | | | Below the threshold level: |
| | | | Detection of limited data. |
| | | | Selection and organization |
| | | | not suitable or incorrect. |

The evidence to be assessed is central in order to plan the Rubric for Assessment. For the same learning outcome we can decide to give more weight to one evidence rather than another one. This implies that there is no definite Rubric for Assessment, good for every WBL path. What is effective for a relevant, valid and reliable assessment is the method, the process by which the Rubric for Assessment is developed. This means that in the definition of the WBL the necessary times and the right attention should be dedicated to the planning of the Assessment.

Coming back to the above example, if for that same learning outcome the assessed evidence had been the PROCESS, the Rubric for Assessment would have been different (Example 2 below).



Example 2: Guidance grid for the elaboration of the Rubric for Assessment

| | ASSES | SMENT STANDAR | DS |
|---|---|---|--|
| Learning outcomes and evidences | | Minimum threshold | Diversified descriptors Levels of mastery |
| | Assessment criterion | descriptor | |
| Key question: | Key question: | Key question: | Key question: |
| What evidence (or evidence) will you take into account for the specific learning outcome? | On what basis will you evaluate if the student has succeeded? | What does the student has to do to achieve a specific learning outcome? | How will you know which level the student has reached? |
| the student will be | Students' work will be | At a basic level, | In order to achieve |
| able to | evaluated on the adequacy | the student | level 4/3/2/1 |
| detect and organize | of the methodological steps | will carry out | The student will be |
| users' social and | used for the survey; and | the data | able to |
| health data (taken | the <i>correctness</i> in the use | collection and | 4) perform the survey |
| from examples above) | of detection and | organization by | by correctly following |
| Evidence: | organization techniques of | correctly | all the methodological |
| PROCESS | the social-health sector | following the | steps; correctly use a |
| | | main | plurality of techniques, |
| | | methodological | even advanced ones, |
| | | steps; he will | respecting the |
| | | use the | application criteria |
| | | technical | 3) realizing the survey |
| | | basics | by correctly following |
| | | respecting the | all the methodological |
| | | main | steps; use a variety of |
| | | application | techniques |
| | | criteria. | respecting the |
| | | | application criteria |
| | | | 2) perform the survey |
| | | | by correctly following |



| | all the methodological |
|--|--------------------------|
| | steps; use the main |
| | techniques respecting |
| | the application criteria |
| | 1) realizing the survey |
| | by correctly following |
| | the main |
| | methodological steps; |
| | use the basic |
| | techniques respecting |
| | the main application |
| | criteria |
| | Below the threshold |
| | level: Incorrect |
| | methodological steps; |
| | techniques used |
| | unsuitable or used / |
| | applied |
| | inappropriately. |
| | |

It is worth stressing that:

- each learning outcome can be assessed on the basis of one or more evidences;
- or, each learning outcome will be assessed on the basis of different evidences.

The elements collected in the assessment standards designing process will flow into the Rubric for Assessment. All learning outcomes of your annual WBL project should be considered in the Rubric. Below, by way of example, follows a Rubric for Assessment set on 2 of the learning outcomes among those considered in the part of this Toolkit dedicated to the design of the annual WBL project.



3.4.5 Rubric for assessment

Grid 17. Rubric for assessment

| LEARNING OUTCOMES | EVIDENCE OF LEARNING OUTCOMES | INDICATORS ASSESSMENT CRITERIA | LEVELS | DESCRIPTORS The student is able to: |
|--|-------------------------------------|--|--------------------|--|
| | | | Level 4 | To detect a wide range of socio-health data, identifying the appropriateness for their needs. Organize the data and justify its selection, explaining the reasons for its choices. |
| | PRODUCT / card | completeness of the data collected and the relevance of the data selected / organized for specific needs | Level 3 | To detect a wide range of data and select the most relevant ones, clearly identifying their needs |
| Detect and | | | Level 2 | To detect a series of data and select the most relevant ones, according to your needs. |
| organize users' social and health data | | | Level 1 | To identify the main socio- health data and select the most relevant ones for your needs |
| | | | Under Threshold | Detection of limited data. Selection and organization not suitable or incorrect. |
| | PROCESS | adequacy of the methodological steps used for the survey; and the correctness in the use of detection and organization | Level 4 | The student is able to: carry out the survey by correctly following all the methodological steps; correctly use a plurality of techniques, even advanced ones, respecting the application criteria |
| | | techniques specific to the specific sector. | Level 3 | To carry out the survey by correctly following all the methodological steps; use a variety of techniques |



| LEARNING OUTCOMES | EVIDENCE OF LEARNING OUTCOMES | INDICATORS ASSESSMENT CRITERIA | LEVELS | DESCRIPTORS The student is able to: |
|---|--|--|--------------------|---|
| | | | | respecting the application criteria |
| | | | Level 2 | To carry out the survey by correctly following all the methodological steps; use the main techniques respecting the application criteria |
| | | | Level 1 | To carry out the survey by correctly following the main methodological steps; use the basic techniques respecting the main application criteria |
| | | | Under Threshold | Incorrect methodological steps: techniques used unsuitable or used inappropriately. |
| Design an individual intervention by applying knowledge of human behavior and the social environment and other multidisciplinary theoreticalmethodological frameworks | PRODUCT (individualized project) | Robustness of the multidisciplinary theoretical-methodological framework used; congruence between techniques and intervention tools, normative references indentured in the intervention with respect to the objectives that the | Level 4 | Designing an individual intervention based on a theoretical-methodological framework that integrates the approaches of a plurality of knowledge domains; in which the techniques / instruments of intervention are specifically related to each of the objectives, appropriate to their achievement, Design an individual intervention based on a theoretical-methodological framework that integrates the approaches of the main knowledge domains and in |
| | | same aims to achieve. | | which the techniques / tools of intervention are linked to the objectives and appropriate to their achievement. |



| LEARNING OUTCOMES | EVIDENCE OF LEARNING OUTCOMES | INDICATORS ASSESSMENT CRITERIA | LEVELS | DESCRIPTORS The student is able to: |
|----------------------|--|--|--------------------|--|
| | | | Level 2 | Design an individual intervention based on a theoretical-methodological framework that considers the approaches of some knowledge domains without integrating them but presenting them as destructured / summation, and where the techniques / tools of intervention are linked to the main objectives of the project and adapted to their achievement |
| | | | Level 1 | Design an individual intervention based on an essential theoretical-methodological framework, and in which the techniques / tools of intervention are linked to the main objectives of the project and adapted to their achievement |
| | | | Under Threshold | Project without valid theoretical-methodological references; techniques and intervention tools contained in the project not congruent with the objectives of the project. |
| | Sectoral language (used in the individualized project) | Clarity and vastness (wealth) of the technical- professional sectoral language | Level 4 | Use a structured language that connects all the specialized languages of the knowledge domains considered for the drafting of the project (eg: psychology, hygiene and medical health culture, social-health legislation, |



| LEARNING OUTCOMES | EVIDENCE OF LEARNING OUTCOMES | INDICATORS ASSESSMENT CRITERIA | LEVELS | DESCRIPTORS The student is able to: |
|----------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------|---|
| | | | | etc.), clear from an informative / communicative point of view in each passage |
| | | | Level 3 | Use a structured language that connects specialized languages of most of the knowledge domains involved in the drafting of the project, and overall clear from an information / communication point of view |
| | | | Level 2 | Use a language that connects specialized languages of some of the knowledge domains involved in the drafting of the project, and clear in the transmission of primary content and information |
| | | | Level 1 | Use a basic and clear language in the transmission of primary content and information |
| | | | Under | Poor and unclear language. |
| | | | Threshold | |



Guide 9. Differentiate the descriptors of of mastery levels



The articulation of the different performance levels helps to make the assessment standards evident to the students and to inform them about the characteristics on which evidence will be assessed. They also allow you to spell out what is needed, what are the requirements to move from one level to another. In this phase the students can be involved in the co-definition of the standards. This makes them much more aware of their meaning, as well as fostering in them a greater sense of "ownership" of the learning process. The formulation and clear articulation of the descriptors of the different levels of mastery, though often underestimated, represent an important moment to ensure a quality assessment.

What should be avoid

• to use:

- adjectives that represent different levels of the same concept (poor / bad / worse, good, better, better);
- undefined terms, e.g. superficial / significant work, difficulty in the application / use
 of ..;
- o words which attribute value, e.g. excellent or poor work

These words, although they may have a meaning for those who assess, do not allow the student to understand what is the standard of assessment or what action to take in order to improve their performance. They also leave more discretion to choose both the other teachers who contribute to the assessment process and the workplace tutor.

• to introduce new criteria in the descriptors as one moves from one level of mastery to the next

• The indicators should remain the same, while the quality of indicator along the first to fourth scale can be increasingly expanded. To move through levels of mastery might be useful:

1) Increase the level of autonomy required

i.e the level of independence; the necessary decision-making process; the spirit of initiative



2) Expanding the situation / context in which learning outcomes are applied

i.e. at level 1 could be specific to the assigned assessment task, the higher levels could draw from wider experiences / sources;

3) Increase the range / number of items you expect the student knows how to use.

i.e. using a wider range of presentation techniques, combining multiple problem solving techniques, using a combination of methods / techniques / approaches for the production of product / service etc.

How does the assessment of the learning outcomes of the annual WBL project intervene on the assessment of the each school subjects involved?

The Rubric for assessment should be built at the level of the Class Board, following the process described above.

If the learning outcomes of each school subject were aligned with the general learning outcomes of the WBL project at the design stage, as suggested in this Toolkit (see planning), it will not be difficult to establish how it will impact on academic performance in different school subjects involved.

As you will observe below the steps to do are:

- resume the learning outcomes of school subject (aligned with the learning outcomes of the annual project);
- establish assessment tasks for learning outcomes;
- establish the evidences to be assessed and the indicators.

If the results of learning outcomes of school subject are aligned with the more general results of the WBL project, the situation that will occur is as follows:

- equal assessment tasks for the school subjects involved, and the same evidence to be assessed;
- equal assessment tasks for the school subjects involved and different evidences to be assessed;
- or different assessment tasks for some school subjects.



Taking back one of the learning outcomes defined above: "critically reflect to carry out an evaluation of the individualized projected intervention", we will have:

- a single assessment task (elaboration of a reflection board) for the English / Psychological /
 Operational Methods / History and the same evidence;
- or a different assessment task for Mathematics.

| Learning Outcomes of the single school subject involved in the annual WBL project | Alignment with learning outcomes and with the competences of the annual WBL project (resume annual project) | Evaluation and evidence |
|---|---|---|
| use the specific languages of the social-health sector in the | Learning outcomes reflect critically (apply critical thinking) to | ENGLISH LANGUAGE; GENERAL AND APPLIED PSYCHOLOGY; OPERATING METHODOLOGIES, |
| evaluation of the intervention carried out | perform an assessment of individualized designed intervention | HISTORY. ASSESSMENT TASK |
| PSYCHOLOGY: Arguing on the strengths and limits of the specific psychological approach and the modalities of intervention (chosen and inserted in the individual project elaborated) in relation to the characteristics of the user to whom it is directed and to the intervention context. | | Elaboration Critical reflection sheet on the project elaborated using multidisciplinary approaches (in Italian and English) EVIDENCE: A) Metacognition B) Sectoral language usage |
| OPERATING METHODOLOGIES: Motivating the: - intervention techniques identified in the project (for the help relationship, and / or for facilitated communication, for empowerment, etc.) in relation to the specific characteristics of the user; - design techniques used. | | INDICATORS / CRITERIA: A) Students' work will be assessed on the relevance and depth of the arguments with respect to the choices made. B) The student's work will be evaluated on the basis of the correctness of the language used. |



HISTORY:

to correlate the main historical changes and the corresponding evolution of the theoretical-methodological approaches of the sect

MATHS:

to build indicators of quality and impact of the project related to timing, specific and general objectives.

MATHS:

ASSESSMENT TASK:

indicator grid (to be inserted in the reflection board)

EVIDENCE: product (). **INDICATORS:**

Students' work will be assessed on the adequacy of the quality indicators developed to measure what they intend to measure.

Instead for the learning outcome: "planning an individual intervention applying the knowledge of multidisciplinary theoretical-methodological frameworks" we will have:

- a single assessment task for all the school subjects involved and the same evidence to be assessed



Grid 18. Assessment alignment

| Learning outomes of the single school subject involved in the annual ASL project | Alignment with learning outcomes and with the | Assessment tasks and evidences | ASS | SESSMENT STANDARD | S |
|--|---|---|--|--|---|
| | compentencies of the annual WBL project (resume annual project) | | Assessment criterion | Minimum threshold descriptor | Diversified descriptors Levels of mastery |
| SOCIO-SANITARY LAW AND LEGISLATION: apply the specific reference legislation for the drafting of an individual project consistent with the objective pursued (eg (re) socio-professional insertion of an inmate / or person with mental disability / person with physical disability, etc) GENERAL AND APPLIED PSYCHOLOGY: plan an individual intervention taking as | Learning outcomes designing an individual intervention by applying knowledge of human behavior and the social environment and other multidisciplinary theoretical- methodological frameworks | SOCIO-SANITARY LAW AND LEGISLATION; GENERAL AND APPLIED PSYCHOLOGY; HYGIENE E MEDICAL-HEALTH CULTURE; OPERATING METHODOLOGIES; BUSINESS TUTOR Evaluation task: Elaboration of an individual intervention project EVIDENCE: a) Product (project) b) Technical-professional language | Product Students' work will be assessed on the robustness of the regulatory framework / of the theoretical- methodological / technical- instrument approaches used; congruence with respect to the project objectives; Technical- professional language | Product At a basic level, the student plans an individual intervention based on an essential theoretical- methodological framework, and in which the techniques / tools of intervention are linked to the main objectives of the project and adapted to their achievement. | The levels of mastery are shown in the evaluation section |



| | | 1 | 1 | |
|------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|--|
| theoretical background at | | The student's work | | |
| least two theoretical- | INDICATORS | will be evaluated | Technical- | |
| methodological approaches | | on the basis of the | professional | |
| and integrating them | Language | correctness, clarity | language | |
| (constructivist approach / | Clarity and wealth of the | and vastness of the | | |
| systemic-relational | language of the sector | language used. | The student uses a | |
| approach / Adlerian holistic | | language useu. | | |
| approach etc) | | | basic language, | |
| | | | and clear in the | |
| | | | transmission of | |
| | | | primary content | |
| | | | and information. | |
| HYGIENE E | | | | |
| MEDICAL-HEALTH | | | | |
| | | | | |
| CULTURE: | | | | |
| use methodologies and | | | | |
| operational tools for | | | | |
| operational tools for | | | | |
| prepare and implement | | | | |
| individual projects | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| OPERATING | | | | |
| METHODOLOGIES: | | | | |
| | | | | |



| differentiate intervention | | | |
|-----------------------------|--|--|--|
| methods and techniques to | | | |
| be used (for the help | | | |
| relationship, and / or for | | | |
| facilitated communication, | | | |
| for empowerment, etc.) in | | | |
| relation to the specific | | | |
| characteristics of the user | | | |
| | | | |



The elements collected in the Assessment standards designing process will flow into the Rubric for Assessment an example already reported above.

Validation of the evaluation section by the workplace tutor

Validation of the evaluation section by the workplace tutor

The quality assurance requires that in the planning phase of the assessment a time is planned in which the workplace tutor is asked to establish if the Rubric for Assessment guarantees pertinence, validity, reliability of the assessment. The involvement of the workplace tutor is aimed to assure an evaluation:

- Relevant, ie suitable to measure exactly those learning outcomes (that competence) and not others;
- Valid, when the assessment tasks and standards are considered by the workplace tutor to be able to measure that competence or assess what they should assess.
- Reliable, ie adequate to ensure consistency and objectivity. When the information drawn
 is not ambiguous and can be detected in a uniform manner by different assessors (both
 school and workplace tutor) according to criteria established in advance and shared.

After developing the Rubric for Assessment, whether or not it has been a shared process with the host organization, it should be viewed by workplace tutor and should receive feedback based on the pertinence, validity and reliability requirements. Below we propose a card to facilitate the acquisition of these feedbacks.



Grid 19. Record Card for the validation by the workplace tutor of the Rubric for Assessment

| | The Rubric for Assessment is: | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | Clarity | | | | | | |
| | Very Unclear Unclear | Clear | Very clear 🗌 | | | | | |
| | Useful to id | dentify what students need to le | arn | | | | | |
| | Useless Little Usefu | l Useful | Very helpful 🗌 | | | | | |
| | Use to identify what we expect | t students to know / know how t | o do at the end of WBL | | | | | |
| L | | (learning outcomes) | | | | | | |
| | Useless Little Usef | ul 🗌 Useful 🗌 | Very helpful 🗌 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | Pertinent to the compet | ence (or competencies) which it | should to assess | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | The assessment criteria used | The assessment criteria used | The assessment criteria | | | | | |
| | refer exactly to that competence | refer to that competence but al | so used do not refer to that | | | | | |
| L | and not others | to other competencies. | competence | | | | | |
| L | | Complete | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | The assessment criteria used | The assessment criteria used | The assessment criteria | | | | | |
| | make it possible to fully measure | make it possible to partially | used are not able to | | | | | |
| | competence | measure the competence | measure competence | | | | | |
| | | Gradual | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | The different levels are clear and | The different levels tend to get | The difference between th | | | | | |
| easily distinguishable | | confused / overlapping | levels is not clear | | | | | |
| | | (FOR EXAMPLE: the difference | | | | | | |
| | | between the 1st level and the | | | | | | |
| | | 2nd level is not clear, or | | | | | | |
| | | between the 3rd and 4th | | | | | | |
| l | | levels) | | | | | | |

If there were areas for improvement, it would be advisable to review the Rubric for assessment, accepting any suggestions from the workplace tutor, and/or dedicate a moment for sharing with the workplace tutor the ways in which to read/to interpret the Rubric for assessment . This step should take place before starting the WBL project.



Assessment Form

The Assessment form is the tool on which the teachers and the wokplace tutor record the learning outcomes achieved by the students and then make an average and assign a grade. In the Assessment form, which is proposed below, the central element is the learning outcome. The average of the indicator on each learning outcome is assessed. In this way:

- 1) we avoid summary assessments of school subjects which have not contributed to the achievement of a specific learning outcome (but which have contributed to the achievement of another learning outcome);
- 2) we attribute greater weight to the school subjects involved in achieving a greater number of learning outcomes;
- 3) and, if at the design stage we weighted each learning outcome by assigning it a different weight (eg based on the level of complexity), we attribute greater impact of the WBL path on those school subjects involved in the development of more complex learning outcomes (in that specific context).

For EXAMPLE, compared to our partly developed Rubric for Assessment we would have an Assessment Form as follows:



Grid 20. Assessment form

| LEARNING OUTCOMES | CORRELATED EVIDENCE TO BE ASSESSED | INDICATORS (ASSESSMENT CRITERIA) | LEVELS | Workpla ce Tutor | School subject Teacher | School subject teacher | School subject teacher |
|--|--|---|------------------------|---------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| | | Completeness | LEVEL4 | | | | |
| | | of the data collected and | LEVEL 3 | | | | |
| | | relevance of the data | LEVEL 2 | | | | |
| | PRODUCT (detection card) | selected / organized for | LEVEL 1 | | | | |
| Detect and organize | | the specific needs | Below threshol d | | | | |
| users' social and health | | Adequacy of the | LEVEL4 | | | | |
| data | | methodologica I steps used for the survey; and | LEVEL 3 | | | | |
| | | correctness in the use of detection and organization techniques typical of the social-health sector | LEVEL 2 | | | | |
| | PROCESS | | LEVEL 1 | | | | |
| | | | Below threshol d | | | | |
| | el of the specific le etences of the Wb teachers (roun | ol project by the | | | | | |
| Design an individual interventio | Robinal tio multi y th meth ge PRODUCT I from | Robustness of the multidisciplinar | LEVEL4 | | | | |
| n by applying knowledge of human | | used; congruence between | LEVEL 3 | | | | |
| behavior and the social environme | intervention project) | | LEVEL 2 | | | | |



| nt and other multidiscipl inary | tools, normative references identified in | LEVEL 1 | | | | | |
|---|--|---|------------------------|-------------|-----------|-----|--|
| theoretical- methodolo gical frameworks | | the intervention with respect to the objectives that the same aims to achieve | Below threshol d | | | | |
| | SECTORAL LANGUAGE (USED IN THE INDIVIDUALIZED PROJECT) | | LEVEL4 | | | | |
| | | Sectoral | LEVEL 3 | | | | |
| | | language (used in the individualized | LEVEL 2 | | | | |
| | | project) - | LEVEL 1 | | | | |
| | | | Below threshol d | | | | |
| Medium level of the specific learning outcome referred to the competences of the Wbl project (by the individual teacher- rounding up) | | | | | | | |
| Average by school subject of all indicators for the | | | | | | | |
| learning outcomes considered (by the teacher) rounded | | | | | | | |
| up by defect or excess | | | | | | | |
| | | | Ov | erall avera | ige | | |
| | | | | (wł | nole numb | er) | |



Grid 21. Skills certificate

Laws references

- Decision n.2241/2004/CE of the European Parliament and of the Suggestion of December 15 th 2004, relative to an unique community framework for the transparency of the qualifications and the competences (Europass);
- Directive n. 2005/36/CE of the European Parliament and of the Suggestion of September 7 th 2005, related to the recognition of the professional qualifications;
- Recommendation of the European Parliament and the Suggestion of December 18 th 2006, related to key competences for the permanent (2006/962/CE) learning;
- Recommendation of the European Parliament and the Suggestion of April 23 rd 2008, on the constitution of the European Picture of the qualifications for the permanent (EQF) learning;
- Recommendation of the European Parliament and the Suggestion of June 18th 2009, on the institution of an European system of credits for the education and the professional (ECVET) formation;
- Recommendation of the European Parliament and the Suggestion of June 18 th 2009, on the institution of an European picture of reference for the guarantee of the quality of the education and the professional (EQARF) formation;
- Recommendation of the Suggestion of the European union on the validation of the formal and informal learning not of December 20 2012..

| STUDENT DATA | | |
|--|----------------|---------------------------|
| 1 SURNAME (I) * | 2 NAME(I) * | |
| | | |
| 3 DATE OF BIRTH * | 4 CITIZENSHIP* | |
| dd mm yyyy | | |
| 5 TAX CODE* | 6 TITLE OF ST | UDY/ STUDIES IN PROGRESS* |
| | | |
| | | |
| School that releases the certification | | |
| | | |
| 7 NAME ADDRESS * | | 8 SCHOOL CODE* |
| | | |
| 9 NAME OF THE SCHOOL TUTOR * | | 10 TELEPHONE |
| | | |



| TT 1 | OLE/FUNCTION | 12 E-MAIL | 13 SEAL OR SIGNATURE * |
|-------------------------|----------------------------------|------------|-------------------------|
| | | | |
| 14 F | HEADMASTER'S NAME * | | 15 TELEPHONE AND EMAI |
| | | | 16 SEAL AND SIGNATURE * |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Descr | iption of the WBL path/workplace | data | |
| | DURATION OF THE PATH EUROPASS | | |
| 17. C | | | |
| | OURATION OF THE PATH EUROPASS | | |
| 17. D | TOTAL HOURS 3RD YEAR | | |
| 17. D 18 19 20 | TOTAL HOURS 4TH YEAR | S MOBILITY | |

| 17. D | UKATION OF THE PATH EUROPASS I | VIOBILITY | | | |
|---------|--------------------------------|-----------|---------------|--|--|
| 18 | TOTAL HOURS 3RD YEAR | | | | |
| 19 | TOTAL HOURS 4TH YEAR | | | | |
| 20 | TOTAL HOURS 5TH YEAR | | | | |
| 21 W | BL WORKPLACE 1 NAME AND ADD | DRESS* | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 22. FIE | ELD*: | | | | |
| 23 W | 23 WORKPLACE TUTOR NAME* | | 24 SIGNATURE* | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 25 V | VBL WORKPLACE 2 NAME AND AD | DDRESS* | , . | | |
| | | | | | |
| 26. FIE | ELD*: | | | | |
| 27 WC | DRKPLACE TUTOR NAME* * | | 28 SIGNATURE* | | |



| Skills acquired during the WBL pat | h | |
|------------------------------------|--|--|
| | | |
| 29 LEARNING OUTCOMES REA | CHED * | |
| LEARNING OUTCOME | MASTERY LEVEL- EQF | EQF SKILL LEVELS |
| 1) | Level 1 (STARTING) Level 2 (BASIC) Level 3 (INTERMEDIATE) Level 4 (ADVANCED) | Level 3 (Attestato di qualifica di operatore professionale) |
| 2) | Level 1 (STARTING) Level 2 (BASIC) Level 3 (INTERMEDIATE) Level 4 (ADVANCED) | Level 4 (Diploma professionale di tecnico, diploma liceale, diploma di istruzione tecnica, diploma di istruzione professionale,) |
| 3) | Level 1 (STARTING) Level 2 (BASIC) Level 3 (INTERMEDIATE) Level 4 (ADVANCED) | |
| 30 COMPETENCES ACQUIRED* | • | |
| COMPETENCES | MASTERY LEVELS- EQF | EQF LEVELS |
| | Level 1 (ENTRY) Level 2 (BASIC) Level 3 (INTERMEDIATE) Level 4 (ADVANCED) | LEVEL 3 (CERTIFICATE OF PROFESSIONAL OPERATOR) LEVEL 4 (secondary school diploma /high education |
| | Level 1 (STARTING) Level 2 (BASIC) Level 3 (INTERMEDIATE) Level 4 (ADVANCED) | |



| | Level 1 (STA Level 2 (BAS Level 3 (INT) Level 4 (AD) | SIC) ERMEDIATE) | | | |
|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| 31 SOFT SKILLS | M | ASTERY LEVELS | - EQF | | |
| - | | Level 2 Level 3 Level 4 | L (ENTRY) 2 (BASIC) 3 (INTERMEDIATE) 4 (ADVANCED) | | |
| | | Level 2 Level 3 Level 4 | L (ENTRY) 2 (BASIC) 3 (INTERMEDIATE) 4 (ADVANCED) | | |
| 32 DIGITAL SKILLS (BUT FOR THE FONES) | PROFESSIONAL | ASTERY LEVELS | - EQF | | |
| | M | Level 2 Level 3 Level 4 Level 4 Level 1 Level 2 Level 3 | L (ENTRY) 2 (BASIC) 3 (INTERMEDIATE) 4 (ADVANCED) L (ENTRY) 2 (BASIC) 3 (INTERMEDIATE) 4 (ADVANCED) - FOR | | |
| 33 MANAGING SKILLS (BUT FOR TH ONES) | 33 MANAGING SKILLS (BUT FOR THE PROFESSIONAL) | | | | |
| | | Level 2 | L (ENTRY) P (BASIC) B (INTERMEDIATE) I (ADVANCED) | | |
| | | Level 2 | L (ENTRY) 2 (BASIC) 3 (INTERMEDIATE) 4 (ADVANCED) | | |
| 34 COMMUNICATION SKILLS (BU PROFESSIONAL ONES | T FOR THE MA | ASTERY LEVELS | - EQF | | |
| | | Level 2 | L (ENTRY) P (BASIC) B (INTERMEDIATE) I (ADVANCED) | | |



| | | Le | vel 1 (ENTRY) vel 2 (BASIC) vel 3 (INTERMEDIATE) vel 4 (ADVANCED) | | |
|--|----------------------|----------|--|---|--|
| 35 OTHER SKILLS | | | | | |
| == | | | | | |
| 36 DATE * | 37 SCHOOL TUTOR SIGN | NATURE * | 38 HEADMASTER'S SIGNATURE* | ¢ | |
| DD MM YYYY | | | | | |
| Final assessment On the base of the kept behavior in firm, of the abilities of learning shown, of the competences | | | | | |
| | • | | ent, of the judgment expressed by nent of the student, experience is | | |
| | | | d); good (intermediate); discreet | | |
| | | • | | | |
| , | | | The Headmaster | | |
| | | | | | |
| The school Tutor | | | The class coordinator | | |